

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tendemark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington DC 20221 www.ospto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/631,411	08/03/2000	Xu Cao	D6258	9593
75	01/14/2003			
Benjamin Aaron Adler			EXAMINER	
McGregor & Adler LLP 8011 Candle Lanc Houston, TX 77071			LACOURCIERE, KAREN A	
			AREUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FILE COPY

	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/631,411	CAO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	xaminer	Art Unit			
	aren A. Lacourciere	1635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Nov	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 November 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This a	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents had 	ave been received.	i			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Applicatio	n No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1635

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to non-patent literature is improper because the structure and physical activities of the proteins "Smad6" and "Hoxc-8" are essential to practice the claimed invention and incorporation by reference of "essential material", for example, the structural information required to describe the claimed invention in this case, may not be incorporated by reference to non-patent publications. (see MPEP 608.01(p).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11-14 are maintained as rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a written description rejection.

Claims 11-14 are drawn to methods of screening for a compound that disrupts transcriptional repression of a gene wherein a Smad6/Hoxc-8 protein complex is formed. The specification as filed does not provide any structural information, ie.

Art Unit: 1635

1

sequence, for Smad6 or Hoxc-8 such that the skilled artisan would recognize the common structural features of proteins encompassed in the terms Smad6 and Hoxc-8. It is noted that the specification incorporates by reference publications that include sequences for Smad6 and Hoxc-8 (see page 31 of the specification), however, incorporation by reference of "essential material", ie. the structural information required to describe the claimed invention in this case, may not be incorporated by reference to non-patent publications. (see MPEP 608.01(p).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-14 are maintained as rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 11-14 are indefinite due to the recitation "Smad6" and "Hoxc-8". It is unclear what proteins would be encompassed in the terms "Smad6" and "Hoxc-8", because the specification has not provided a definition for what proteins would be encompassed in these terms, or enough information on the structure of these proteins, such that the skilled artisan would know what proteins are encompassed in these terms. For example, the prior art recognized some proteins that would be encompassed by the terms "Smad6" and "Hoxc-8", because these names are used in the prior art, however, it is unclear what other proteins would be encompassed in these terms because the names assigned to proteins are not necessarily used consistently in the art. For

Art Unit: 1635

example, what proteins would be encompassed by these terms, but referred to in the prior art by an alternative name? The specification has not provided enough structural information or characteristics of "Smad6" and "Hoxc-8" proteins such that the skilled artisan could determine what proteins are encompassed in the claims, but referred to using an alternative name.

Response to Arguments

Page 4

In response to the rejection of record of claims 11-14 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, set forth in the prior Office action mailed 09-11-2002, Applicant argues that the specification has provided ample data on the interaction between Smad6 and Hoxc-8, demonstrating possession of the claimed invention. Applicant points to experimental results in the specification in figures 1-4 to support possession of the claimed invention. Applicant argues that the practice of the claimed methods does not require knowledge about the structural features of Smad6 and Hoxc-8 because these proteins are well known in the art and the skilled artisan can readily obtain published sequences for these proteins from the prior art and, therefore, the invention is sufficiently described. Applicant argues that the examiner has not provided any scientific evidence to the contrary.

These arguments have been fully considered, however, they have not been found persuasive. The specification has provided no written description for Smad6 or Hoxc-8 with regards to the structure of these proteins, which is essential to the practice of the claimed invention. Applicant relies upon the prior art for this written description, however, incorporation by reference of "essential material", for example, the

Art Unit: 1635

structural information required to describe the claimed invention in this case, may not be incorporated by reference to non-patent publications (see MPEP 608.01(p)). Figures 1-4 provide gels which include bands corresponding to Smad6 and Hoxc-8 protein complexes, however, these figures do not provide a description by which the skilled artisan could determine the common structural features of the genus of Smad6 and Hoxc-8 proteins used in the methods encompassed by the claims, for example, the gels in these figures do not even use molecular weight markers by which the skilled artisan could determine the weight of Smad6 or Hoxc-8.

In response to the rejection of record under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, Applicant argues that Smad6 and Hoxc-8 proteins are so well known in the art that the skilled artisan would readily recognize what proteins are encompassed in the terms based on sequences and descriptions readily available and published in the prior art. Applicant argues that the skilled artisan would not be confused in a situation wherein Smad6 and Hoxc-8 are named differently in the art because these proteins are so well known. Applicant argues that the examiner has not provided any evidence that Smad6 and Hoxc-8 are named differently in the prior art.

These arguments have been considered, but have not been found persuasive. The terms Smad6 and Hoxc-8 are simply names and do not provide a limiting definition for a protein by that name. The specification has not provided sufficient structural information or a description of physical characteristics for these proteins such that the skilled artisan could determine what proteins are encompassed in the scope of the proteins used in the claimed methods. Because the description of these proteins is

Art Unit: 1635

insufficient it is not possible to determine if these proteins are named differently in the art, because there is insufficient description by which to search for these proteins.

Therefore, the skilled artisan could not determine what proteins are or are not

encompassed in the terms "Smad6" and "Hoxc-8".

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen A. Lacourciere whose telephone number is (703) 308-7523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 305-1935 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Karen A. Lacourciere January 13, 2003

KAREN LACOURCIERE
PATENT EXAMINER

Korer Socorium